Εi	ما	۱۸	/ith
r- 1	_	Vι	מזוו

#### SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_3W495-22	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/red from  Terence Huply  I recommend that section 131  be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):	of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
E.O.: Ret B	Date: 29/12/2023
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.	
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	Date:
W	
Please prepare BP Section 131 notice enclo	sing a copy of the attached
o: Task No:	
Nilow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
O:	Date:
A:	Date:

#### **Validation Checklist**

Lodgement Number: LDG-069116-23

Case Number: ABP-314485-22 **Customer: Terence Murphy** 

Lodgement Date: 14/12/2023 14:05:00
Validation Officer: Patrick Buckley
PA Name: Fingal County Council

PA Reg Ref: F20A/0668
Case Type: Normal Planning Appeal PDA2000
Lodgement Type: Observation / Submission



Validation Checklist	Value
Confirm Classification	Confirmed - Correct
Confirm ABP Case Link	Confirmed-Correct
Fee/Payment	Valid – Correct
Name and Address available	Yes
Agent Name and Address available (if engaged)	Not Applicable
Subject Matter available	Yes
Grounds	Yes
Sufficient Fee Received	Yes
Received On time	Yes
Eligible to make lodgement	Yes
Completeness Check of Documentation	Yes

F.K.

02/01/24

Run at: 29/12/2023 14:13

Patrick Buckley

Run by:

# Lodgement Cover Sheet - LDG-069116-23



LDG-069116-23

Lodgement ID

Patrick Buckley

Map ID Created By ž

Physical Items included Generate Acknowledgement

Letter

Customer Ref. No.

PA Reg Ref

#### **Jetails**

odgement Date	14/12/2023
ustomer	Terence Murphy
odgement Channel	In Person
adgement by Agent	No
gent Name	
orrespondence Primarily Sent to	
egistered Post Reference	

## **Sategorisation**

odgement Type	Observation / Submission
ection	Processing

# -ee and Payments

pecified Body	No
ral Hearing	No
ee Calculation Method	System
urrency	Euro
ee Value	50.00
efund Amount	0.00

### Observation

	248	
SECRETARIA DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRA		

A proposed development comprising the taking of a 'relevant action' only within the meaning of Section 34C of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, at Dublin Airport,

Run at: 29/12/2023 14:12

Run by: Patrick Buckley

PA Name	Fingal County Council
Case Type (3rd Level Category)	Case Type (3rd Level Category) Normal Planning Appeal PDA2000

F20A/0668

Observation/Objection Allowed?	Yes
Payment	
Related Payment Details Record	

Co. Dublin, in the townilatios of Collinstown, Toberbunny, Commons, Cloghran, Corballis, Coultry, Portmellick, Harristown, Shanganhill, Sandyhill, Huntstown, Pickardstown, Dunbro, Millhead, Kingstown, Barberstown, Forrest Great, Forrest Little and Rock on a site of c. 580 ha. The proposed relevant action relates to the night-time use of the runway system at Dublin Airport. It involves the amendment of the operating restriction set out in condition no. 3(d)	restriction in condition no. 5 of the North Runway Planning Permission (Fingal County Council Reg. Ref. No. F04A/1755; ABP Ref. No. PL06F.217429 as amended by Fingal County Council F19A/0023, ABP Ref. No. ABP-305289-19), as well as proposing new noise mitigation measures. Conditions no. 3(d) and 5 have not yet come into effect or operation, as the construction of the North Runway on foot of the North	North Runway on foot of the North Runway Planning Permission is ongoing. The proposed relevant action, if permitted, would be to remove the numerical cap on the number of flights permitted between the hours of 11pm and 7am daily that is due to come into effect in accordance with the North Runway Planning Permission and to replace it with an annual night-time noise quota between the hours of 11.30pm and 6am and also to allow flights to take off from and/or land on the North Runway (Runway 10L 28R) for an additional 2 hours i.e. 2300 hrs to 2400hrs and 0600 hrs to 0700 hrs. Overall, this would allow for an increase in the number of flights taking off and/or landing at Dublin Airport between 2300 hrs and 0700 hrs over and above the number stipulated in condition no. 5 of the North Runway Planning

remusal night time noise quota. The relevant action pursuant to Section 34C (1) (a) is: To amend condition no. 34O of the North Runway Planning Permission (Fingal County Council F1940023, ABP Ref. No. F04A/1755; ABP Ref. No. P04A/1755; ABP Ref. No. PLO6F_217429 as amended by Fingal County Council F1940023, ABP Ref. No. ABP-305289-19). Condition 3(d) and the exceptions at the end of Condition 3 state the following: 3(d). Runway 10L-28R shall not be used for take-off or landing between 2300 hours and 0700 hours except in cases of safety, maintenance considerations, exceptional air traffic conditions, adverse weather, technical faults in air traffic control systems or declared emergencies at other airports. Permission is being sought to amend the above condition so that it reads: 'Runway 10L-28R shall not be used for take-off or landing between 0000 hours and 0559 hours except in cases of safety, maintenance considerations, exceptional air traffic conditions, adverse weather, technical faults in air traffic control systems or declared emergencies at other airports or where Runway 10L-28R length is required for a specific aircraft type. The net effect of the proposed change, if permitted, would change the normal operating hours of the North Runway from the 0700hrs to 2300 hrs to 0600 hrs. The relevant action also is: To replace condition no. 5 of the North Runway Planning Permission (Fingal County Council Reg. Ref. No. PLO6F-217429 as amended by Fingal County Council Reg. No. PLO6F-217429 as amended by Fingal County Council Probaca condition of the runway hereby hearming the proposed change, if permitted, heaveston of the runway hereby hearming the proposed change, if permitted, heavestonical proposed chan	מיסי שיס מיסי מיסי מיסי מיים מיסי מיים מיסי מיים מיסי מיים מיסי מיסי
Development Description	
F20A/0668	
PA Case Number	Run at: 29/12/2023 14:12

3un at: 29/12/2

Run by: Patrick Buckley

PL06F.220670) and condition no. 2 of the Terminal 1 Extension Planning

Run at: 29/12/2023 14:12

Run by: Patrick Buckley

			rt Type
Yes	Additional Supporting Items		
	Applicant	08/08/2022	Date
County Council, Fingal County Hall, Main Street, Swords, Fingal, Co. Dublin.			
during its public opening hours of 9.30 during - 16.30 (Monday – Friday) at Fingal			
the reasonable cost of making a copy,			
Assessment Report may be inspected or purchased at a fee not exceeding			
application and Environmental Impact			
Report will be submitted with the			
Environmental Impact Assessment			
information provided for the purposes			
(EU) No 598/2014. The planning application is accompanied by			
Regulations Act 2019 and Regulation			
Competent Authority in accordance with the Aircraft Noise (Dublin Aircraft			
planning application will be subject to an assessment by the Aircraft Noise			
32 million passengers per annum. The			
combined capacity of Terminal 1 and			
Reg. Ref. No. F06A/1843; ABP Ref.			
	The state of the s	_	

	Main Street, Swords, Fingal, Co. Dublin.
Applicant	
Additional Supporting Items	Yes

Dublin Airport, Co. Dublin

evelopment Address

ppellant

evelopment

county

A Decision

Supporting Argument

29/12/2023 14:12 Run at:

Patrick Buckley Run by:

Case Number: ABP-314485-22

Planning Authority Reference Number: F20A/0668

AN BORD PLEANÁLA
LDGABP
1 4 DEC 2023
Fee: € \_\_\_\_\_ Type: \_\_\_\_
Time: 12:26 By: HANO

Terence Murphy Shallon Lane The Ward Co. Dublin

I am one of over 30,000 people who are now living under an illegal flightpath since the opening of the North Runway. The 2007 planning condition documentation includes flightpath assumptions which many people have built their lives around. The flightpaths in the 2007 planning permission are much different to the ones in use today and since it opened.

The noise from the current flightpaths is intolerable. These flightpaths must be changed back to what was proposed in 2007. No further changes can be considered until this crucial issue is addressed first. There is a major health risk to tens of thousands of people due to excessive aircraft noise.

An oral hearing is absolutely necessary given the gravity of the situation.

Having read through the daa newly submitted documents, it is clear in the submission from daa, that they have used the current flight paths for their "permitted" drawings instead of the permitted noise zones from the original 2007 planning permission. They seem to be hoping that ABP grants this on the basis of the relatively small difference between before and after with respect to night flights. If that occurs, ABP would effectively be accidentally granting retention to the current flight paths which are currently illegal and causing continued untold distress for tens of thousands of people. This means that flightpaths are now a very important element of this relevant action submission and must be considered within it.

My major areas of observation and concerns are:

So-called "permitted" Noise zones in this submission do not match the Environmental Impact Statement for the only granted permission.

Acceptance of the relevant action by ABP and thus retention of the flightpaths would set a precedent that ABP conditions should be ignored if inconvenient.

The daa are breaching their current planning permission and flightpaths as per below:

- daa have breached the passenger cap in 2019 and will most likely do so again this year.
- daa are consistently breaching the 65 movement cap per night.
- daa are not using the flightpaths they used in their 2007 planning permission.

An oral hearing is absolutely necessary given the gravity of the situation.

- Well documented negative health effects and illness which can be attributed to excessive aircraft noise.
- Flightpaths in use bear no resemblance to what was approved in 2007 planning and people have built their lives around that.
- Straight out flightpaths will largely improve the noise issue.
- The effects on the environment are monumental and Ireland are one of the worst countries in the world in terms of GHG emissions. Increasing aircraft activity in the midst of a climate crisis seems counterintuitive.
- Extending day hours for residents is only going to cause more noise exposure it doesn't make any sense given how serious the current noise situation is.
- Unlimited night flights using a pure noise quota system is only going to cause more sleep disturbance for residents. Night flights should be banned as is the case in many other major airports.
- Flight Path Changes the proposed changes are "based on actual routes flown". The applicants are basing their plans on an assumed acceptance of their illegal, unauthorised flightpaths. There is a total democratic deficit in asserting their assumption. Local residents are being seriously harmed by these flights Yet, despite this, the applicant is assuming their current flight paths are a basis for modelling their future routes. The IAA was consulted prior to the North Runway completion. The IAA thus share liability for the deleterious health effects on Fingal residents. Minutes of these meetings should be made available in the interests of due diligence, transparency and corporate accountability.
- FCC 2007 planning stipulations have been absolutely flouted. There is a raw arrogance in this
  assertion. It flies in the face of WHO and all academic research on harm done by air traffic.
  How safe is it for the stakeholders in this matter to not accept the reality of the harms done
  by these unauthorised flight paths?
- The EIAR supplement 2023 within the significant additional information is prepared for the DAA and thus, is not independent of potential bias. Again, their report is based on the illegal flightpaths from the NR. The authorised flightpaths as per 2007 planning permission have been ignored. Thus their future projections are not valid.

Our enjoyment of our home and garden has been severely impacted since the opening of the North Runway. Everyone expected something different in terms of flightpaths based on the 2007 planning permission and what has happened is completely different. The current operation is causing huge distress and disturbance for tens of thousands of people not to mention the negative health effects and illnesses which can be attributed to excessive aircraft noise.

The prospect of granting further changes to increase the day hours and night flights seems ludicrous when there is a major noise issue already in place.

An oral hearing is absolutely necessary given the gravity of the situation.